



New H-state lifetime measurement for the ACME electron EDM search

Daniel Ang Harvard University, ACME Collaboration

> DAMOP 2020 June 3, 2020







Theoretical motivations

- A non-zero electron EDM would be evidence of physics beyond the Standard Model
- Most stringent measurement of electron EDM (ACME II, 2018): $d_e = (-4.3 \pm 4.0) \times 10^{-30} \text{ e} \cdot \text{cm}$

$$|d_e| < 1.1 \times 10^{-29} \text{ e} \cdot \text{cm}$$

• How can we improve this further?



Key EDM results since 2010. Two-loop sensitivity from Nakai & Reece (2017). One-loop sensitivity from Feng (2013). LHC scale gives stop mass sensitivity.

ACME experimental method

- Cryogenic buffer gas beam (CBGB) source of thorium monoxide (ThO)
- Transfer molecules from ground electronic state to EDM-sensitive (H, J=1) metastable state using optical pumping and STIRAP
- Prepare initial spin state, perform spin precession while applying electric and magnetic fields in the interaction region

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|-1\rangle + |+1\rangle) \longrightarrow \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(e^{i\phi} |-1\rangle + e^{-i\phi} |+1\rangle)$$

- $\frac{\phi}{\tau} = -(\tilde{\mathcal{B}}g_1\mu_B\mathcal{B}_z + \tilde{\mathcal{N}}\tilde{\mathcal{E}}d_e\mathcal{E}_{eff})$
- Measure phase by projecting spin-precessed state to a rapidly decaying excited state and detecting the decaying fluorescence

Significance of H-state lifetime

 $\delta d_e \propto rac{1}{\tau E_{
m off} \sqrt{\dot{n}T}}$

 τ : precession time E_{eff} : magnitude of effective electric field *n*: molecular flux*T*: integration time

- τ is limited by the radiative decay from the H-state, which depends on its lifetime τ_H
- In ACME I and II, $\tau \approx 1 \text{ ms}$ (20 cm precession region).
- Previous measurement of H-state lifetime (Vutha et al. 2009):

 $\tau_H \ge 1.8 \text{ ms}$

• Goal: make more precise measurement to determine optimum au

Measurement method

Basic principle:

- Produce ThO molecules mostly in the ground (X, J=0) state.
- Transfer molecules into H-state via optical pumping at Prep 1
- Probe amount of remaining molecules at detection region, measure t_1
- Repeat with transfer at Prep 2
- Calculate ratio of signals and beam velocity
- Calculate lifetime au



Measurement method

- Full setup: use 5 prep points, apply electric field throughout
- Quadruple passing of 943 nm laser to improve saturation



Results

- Fitted lifetime: $\tau = 4.60(9)$ ms
- Main systematic error comes from differences between prep points, such as:
 - Differences in laser beam shape and size
 - Differences in laser alignment → different prep laser detunings
- These may affect saturation and thus signal size at each prep point



- No significant shifts from changing normalization scheme, using different sub-levels of H-state, etc.
- Planning experimentally quantify systematic errors more thoroughly
- Estimate of systematic error is around ± 0.5 ms

Implications for the next generation of ACME

- $\tau_H \approx 4.6 \text{ ms}$ allows increase in precession time τ from 1 ms
 - Increase is limited by geometry and radiative decay
- However, an electric molecular lens is planned, which will allow a total of 10x EDM sensitivity gain
- See poster by X. Wu,
 K01.00142: Upgrading the
 ACME electron EDM search
 with a molecular lens



Summary & Conclusion

- Performed a new measurement of the EDM-sensitive H-state of ThO in a molecular beam, and obtained $\tau_H \approx 4.6 \text{ ms}$
- Combined with molecular lens, this gives a projected 10x gain in EDM sensitivity
- Other improvements (SiPM detectors, timing jitter noise reduction) give further projected ~2.5x gain
- Clear path for order of magnitude improvement in measuring the electron EDM
- Currently designing and testing new interaction region with 5x longer precession region and other improvements to reduce systematic uncertainties



ACME collaboration **Yale University**

David DeMille (PI) Xing Wu (postdoc) James Chow (graduate student) Zhen Han (graduate student) Peiran Hu (graduate student)

Northwestern University Gerald Gabrielse (PI) Daniel Lascar (Research Assistant Professor) Daniel Ang (Harvard graduate student) Cole Meisenhelder (Harvard graduate student) Siyuan Liu (graduate student) Bingjie Hao (graduate student)

Harvard University John Doyle (PI) Xing Wu (postdoc)

Okayama University Koji Yoshimura Noboru Sasao Satoshi Uetake Takahiko Masuda

Other collaborators Cris Panda (Berkeley) Nick Hutzler (Caltech)







James Chow

Zhen Han

Xing Wu



John Doyle



Gerald Gabrielse



Dan Lascar









Cole M.

Daniel Ang









OKAYAMA UNIVERSITY











Thanks to our funders: National Science Foundation, Gordon & Betty Moore Foundation, Alfred P. Sloan Foundation