

# Upgrading the ACME Electron Electric Dipole Moment (e-EDM) Search with a Molecular Lens

ACME Collaboration: Xing Wu<sup>1,2</sup>, Daniel Ang<sup>1</sup>, Cole Meisenhelder<sup>1</sup>, James Chow<sup>2</sup>, David DeMille<sup>2</sup>, John Doyle<sup>1</sup>, Gerald Gabrielse<sup>3</sup>, Nicholas Hutzler<sup>4</sup>, Zhen Han<sup>2</sup>, Cristian Panda<sup>1</sup>

Affiliation: <sup>1</sup>Harvard University, <sup>2</sup>Yale University, <sup>3</sup>Northwestern University, <sup>4</sup>California Institute of Technology

### Motivation

$$H_d = -\vec{d}_e \cdot \vec{E}$$

A permanent electric dipole of a fundamental particle violates both T- and P-symmetry

$$\delta d_e = \frac{1}{2T\epsilon_{eff}\sqrt{N}}$$

Standard Model  
Generic Models  
Pre-LHC SUSY  
LHC era SUSY

ACME 2014  
ACME 2018

Many theories beyond the Standard Model predict T-violation and associated EDMs at current experimental precision

eEDM sensitivity of ACME II is at  $\sim 4 \times 10^{-30} \text{ e} \cdot \text{cm}$ .

We optimize the sensitivity by maximizing the detected molecule number

### ACME Gen III overview

### Proposed sensitivity improvement

Improvement	Signal Gain	EDM Sensitivity Gain
Increased Precession Time	0.20	2.3
Electrostatic Lens	20.5	4.5
SiPM Detector Upgrade	2.3	1.5
Timing Jitter Noise Reduction	1	1.7
<b>Total</b>	<b>9.4</b>	<b>26.4</b>

### Sensitivity gain vs. interaction length

### Property of Q (<sup>3</sup>Δ<sub>2</sub>) state of ThO

— Use Q state to increase molecule flux & suppress systematics

**Q state:**

- Paramagnetic,  $g_Q \approx g_L \Lambda + g_S \Sigma = 2$ , compared to  $g_H = 4.4 \times 10^{-3}$  &  $g_X < 10^{-3}$  allows **magnetic lensing & co-magnetometer**
- Long lived (measured lifetime bound  $> 98 \text{ ms}$ )
- Linear Stark shift due to  $\Omega$ -doublet ( $\ll 100 \text{ kHz}$ ), efficient **electrostatic lensing**

**H state:** for EDM measurement

**X-C-H STIRAP:** EDM state preparation

**I state:** read out via 512nm LIF detection

### Increase useful molecule flux with beam focusing

- Molecules exiting the beam source have high divergence – solid angle subtended by EDM measurement region  $\sim 0.05\%$  in ACME II, and worse for longer interaction region
- Lensing-state of ThO: X-C-Q STIRAP to a single  $M=2$  or  $-2$  level in Q ( $J=2$ ) state

### Electric hexapole lens

- Electrode voltage 22.5 kV, .75" radius:  $\approx 1.8 \text{ K}$  trap-depth
- Control and minimize possible X-ray production
- Expect factor of  $\sim 15$  increase in signal (molecular flux)

### DC Stark shift of Q state

$D_Q = 4.1 \text{ D}$  from measurement

1.8 K trap-depth at 35.4 kV/cm

### Trajectory simulation for molecular lens design

Simulation of molecule focusing with electrostatic lens. Red is lens, black is collimator

### Demonstrate 'double' STIRAP between X and Q (<sup>3</sup>Δ<sub>2</sub>) state of ThO

#### Scheme to prepare single M level in Q

**Main challenges:**

- In a molecular beam: extended spatial and velocity distribution
- For molecular lens to work effectively, need to cover  $\Delta v_{\text{tran}} = \pm 10 \text{ m/s}$ , i.e. FWHM 2-photon linewidth of 6 MHz
- Complex ambient E and B field environment
- Need efficient STIRAP both before and after the Lens!

**Very first signal of double STIRAP between X and Q state, using M=0 sublevel**

Single STIRAP 88 ± 2%, double STIRAP 79 ± 2%

**Preliminary data on 2-photon linewidth efficiency**

### Characterization of Q–C transition

#### Differential Stark shift of Q–C transition

#### C state: Quadratic Stark shift

$J=1$   $\Delta E = 50.4 \text{ MHz}$

#### Q state: Linear Stark shift

$J=2$   $M: -2, -1, 0, +1, +2$

### Large electric & magnetic dipole

- Extracted molecule frame dipole:  $D_Q = 4.07 \text{ D}$  (Q state),  $D_C = 2.60 \text{ D}$  (C state)
- From Zeeman shift measurement:  $G_Q = 2.06 \mu_B$ ,  $G_C = 1.22 \mu_B$

### Strong transition dipole to C state

- Power saturation scan of Q–C transition:  $D_{Q-C} = 1.0 \pm 0.12 \text{ D}$

### Increase quantum efficiency

- Silicon photomultipliers (SiPMs) for more efficient fluorescence detection
- PMTs  $\sim 25\%$  efficient. SiPMs  $\sim 50\%$ , giving factor  $\sim 2$  increase in signal
- Challenge:** large dark current, large capacitance (slow), unstable gain
- Solution:**
  - Custom high-gain, low-noise, high-bandwidth amplifiers
  - moderately low temperature ( $-20 \text{ C}^\circ$ ) suppresses dark current sufficiently
  - with nonimaging optical concentrator (Winston cone)
  - dynamically adjust bias voltage and temperature for stable gain

large-area SiPM array

### Compact rotational cooling stage

- Minimize the distance between source and Lens entrance, to increase the spatial acceptance into Molecular Lens
- Necessary to cover 15MHz FWHM Doppler distribution. Spectrally broadened by mixing 90 sidebands at 330kHz spacing (natural linewidth of C state).
- Single optical path for both rotational line, switch between frequencies, E field, and polarizations

**E-field OFF**  $\sim 25 \mu\text{s}$  for 5mm

**E-field ON**

polarization switching

$J=1$   $J=2$   $J=0$   $J=1$   $J=0$